

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

	·		a)	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/656,076	09/07/2000	Gregory C. Andrews	14374.36	9260
7:	590 01/18/2002			
Eric L Maschoff Workman Nydegger & Seeley 1000 Eagle Gate Tower 60 East South Temple Salt Lake City, UT 84111			EXAMINER	
			HOBDEN, PAMELA R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Dang City, O1 64111			2882	
			DATE MAILED: 01/18/2002	46

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

st Available Copy					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/656,076	ANDREWS, GREGORY C.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Pamela R. Hobden	2882			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time after SIX (6) Moving after SIX					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 S</u>	er vive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 September 2000</u> .				
2a) ☐ This ac in is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since the application is in condition for allowed closed accordance with the practice under	application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of C'ns					
4) Claim(s` 1-41 is/are pending in the application	1-41 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	ಚbove claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(: is/are allowed.	is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(ε - <u>41</u> is/are rejected.	- <u>41</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(ε is/are objected to.	is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s are subject to restriction and/o	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Pap					
9)☐ The spermation is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The draver (s) filed on 07 September 2000 is/a	r(s) filed on <u>07 September 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applie: may not request that any objection to the	Applic: that not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The pro; ed drawing correction filed on	ed drawing correction filed on is: a) _ approved b) _ disapproved by the Examiner.				
If appro 11, corrected drawings are required in rep	ो, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12) The oatl declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority under 36 S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknov gment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All to Some * c) ☐ None of:					
 C ∴ fied copies of the priority document 	fied copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. C fied copies of the priority document	fied copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
pplication from the International Bu	es of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage pplication from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). thed detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
	ment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The inslation of the foreign language pro	Instation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. ment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draft on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Discusses Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark O"					

PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Application/Control Number: 09/656,076

Art Unit: 2882

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-41 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-29 of copending Application No.09/351,579. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because An x-ray tube comprising: a cathode cylinder having an electron source, a housing having an anode with a target surface capable of receiving electrons emitted by the electron source; a shield structure positioned between the cathode cylinder and the x-ray tube housing, the shield structure having an aperture formed therein through which the electrons are passed from the electron source to the target surface; and at least one fluid passageway disposed proximate to the shield structure.

Application/Control Number: 09/656,076

Art Unit: 2882

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

3. Claims 1-41 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,115,454. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the addition of means to facilitate nucleate boiling of the coolant in at least one fluid passageway are known to one skilled in the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pamela R. Hobden whose telephone number is (703)-306-5435. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (703)-305-4939. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)-308-7382 for regular communications and (703)-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-0956.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/656,076

Art Unit: 2882

prh

December 17, 2001

David P. Porta Primary Examiner